INTRODUCTION

Recent advances in endodontics and increased patient awareness has resulted in the increased demand of endodontic treatment. An evaluation of the endodontic literature reveals that a significant percentage of root canal-treated teeth do not respond to treatment in a manner consistent with clinical or radiographic success.[1] When considering treatment for such teeth, significant challenges need to be addressed to attain complete healing of the diseased tooth. Options for re-treatment are non-surgical endodontic or surgical endodontic. The choice of treatment approach should be based upon the patient’s clinical situation and preference, operator’s experience and skill, the risk of complications, technical feasibility, and cost.[2] Non-surgical approach is always preferred as surgery is a compromise, unless microorganisms are assumed to be harbored periapically, retreatment is unfeasible or restricted, or a retreatment attempt has failed.

This article reports the management of a patient with persistent periapical lesion in mandibular second molar with furcation involvement, with the aid of non-surgical endodontic retreatment and photodynamic therapy (PDT) in the furcal region.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Up to 85% treatment success has been reported for periapical lesions after endodontic therapy alone,[3] which implies that most periapical lesions including cysts respond solely to endodontic therapy.[4] This is explained by the effect of biomechanical preparation on intracanal microbiota,[5] enzymatic mechanisms,[6] immunological mechanisms involving neutralization of antigenic toxins,[7] and breakdown of epithelial lining with involvement of macrophages, non-killer T lymphocytes, and Langerhans cells.[8]

The traditional debridement procedures have focused primarily on reducing the bacterial load in the periodontal tissues. This can be accomplished either through surgical or nonsurgical procedures, with the occasional adjunctive use of systemic and/or local antimicrobial agents in certain situations. Systemic antibiotics may be useful for those patients who fail to respond adequately to mechanical debridement procedures. Their use is limited due to the emergence of resistant bacteria, development of potential hypersensitivity reactions, and occurrence of side effects.[9] The development of localized delivery systems that deposit...
highly concentrated doses of antibiotic and/or antimicrobial agents directly at the site of infection (in the periodontal pocket) have been shown to improve treatment efficacy, while at the same time decreasing side effects and limiting resistance.

More recently, an antimicrobial photodynamic therapy that combines advanced non-thermal diode laser technology with a photosensitizing solution for the treatment of periodontal diseases has been introduced. It not only kills Gram-negative bacteria associated with periodontal disease, but also inactivates those endotoxins that are responsible for tissue destruction, thus greatly improving a patient’s chances of healing.\[9\] In addition, it does not carry any risks of promoting antibiotic resistance.\[10\] In clinical trials, patients receiving aPDT in conjunction with scaling and root planing (SRP) experienced significant improvements over patients treated with SRP only: these benefits included shallower pockets, increased clinical reattachment, and reduced bleeding.\[11\]

**CASE REPORT**

A 27-year-old male patient reported with tenderness in mandibular left second molar. There was a history of previous endodontic treatment along with placement of crown about 1 year back. The patient remained asymptomatic till reappearance of pain and localized gingival swelling. The patient reported with a complaint of dull chronic pain and purulent discharge from the gingiva adjacent to the mandibular molar. All these symptoms precipitated his visit to the hospital.

Clinical examination revealed Grade II furcation involvement of the tooth with the horizontal component of furcation being 6 mm and vertical component being 7 mm [Figure 1]. On radiographic examination, the treated tooth revealed poorly obturated canals and radiolucency at the root apex and in the furcal area [Figure 2]. An informed consent was obtained and the decision for non-surgical retreatment along with periodontal intervention was taken.

After the initiation of phase I periodontal therapy, the crown was removed and access to the root canal system was gained. The previous canal filling was removed with the help of xylene (Fischer Scientific, Mumbai, India) and headstrom files (Dentsply Maillefer Ballaigues). The canals were thoroughly irrigated with 3% sodium hypochlorite, working length was determined [Figure 3] and then canal preparation was done with rotary Protaper files (Dentsply Maillefer Ballaigues) using the crown down technique. During the biomechanical preparation, the canal was repeatedly irrigated with sodium hypochlorite. After a final 17% EDTA rinse and drying of root canal system, 2% chlorhexidine gel was placed in the canals for 1 week, followed by a dressing of iodine potassium iodide for another week.

Non-surgical periodontal therapy involved the adjunctive use of photodynamic therapy in the furcation defect. Photosensitizer dye (Helbo-Blue, Helbo Photodynamic Systems, Austria) using syringe was injected in the furcation [Figure 4] and was left there for 3 minutes. The furcation was irrigated with normal saline, to remove excess dye. A beam of light was applied from a hand-held, battery-operated diode laser (Helbo’s minilaser, Helbo Photodynamic Systems, Austria) using fiber-optic tip (Helbo’s 3D Pocket Probe, Helbo...
Photodynamic Systems, Austria), at a wavelength of 670 nm and power density of 75 mW/cm², for 1 minute [Figure 5].

The patient was instructed to maintain oral hygiene and recall was scheduled after 1 week. At the recall appointment, the furcation was probed to evaluate the presence of bleeding on probing. Since the bleeding was absent, no further photodynamic therapy was done.

On subsequent appointments when the patient became asymptomatic, the tooth was scheduled for obturation, and the root canal system was obturated with gutta percha and AH plus sealer (De Trey, Konstanz, Germany) [Figure 6]. Probing of the furcation after 1 month revealed a reduction in the horizontal probing depth to 2 mm. On follow-up radiographs at 6 months, 12 months, and 18 months, healing was evident as the radiolucency in the periapical and interradicular region regressed significantly [Figures 7-9].

DISCUSSION

Root canal system anatomy plays a significant role in endodontic success and failure. Although sealing the root canal system in three dimensions has been shown to be a predictable procedure with a high degree of success, failures can occur after treatment. Unlike primary endodontic infections, which are polymicrobial in nature, secondary infections involve one or a few bacterial species. Enterococcus faecalis is a persistent organism that plays a major role in a high percentage of root canal failures. In addition, fungi such as Candida seem to be more common in the root canals of obturated teeth in which treatment failed.

Studies have shown that the re-treatment of the failed cases has a higher long-term success with non-surgical approach (83%) as compared to the surgical approach (71.8%).

The present case had two challenges — endodontic as well as periodontal — based on which, the treatment plan was formulated. The root canals were disinfected using sodium hypochlorite, which is indisputably a gold standard as an endodontic irrigant, but it has a limited role against E. faecalis. Iodine potassium iodide is considered to be an effective intracanal agent against E. faecalis. Moreover, chlorhexidine, in a 2% gel or liquid concentration, is
effective at reducing or completely eliminating *E. faecalis* from the root canal space and dentinal tubules.[17] Also, AH Plus epoxy-resin-based sealer was used as it exhibits good antibacterial effects against *E. faecalis*.[18]

Management of the periodontal defect involved the use of PDT, which is based on the concept that an agent (photosensitizer), usually a phenothiazine compound, which absorbs light, can be preferentially taken up by bacteria, and subsequently activated by light of appropriate wavelength, in the presence of oxygen, to generate singlet oxygen and free radicals that are cytotoxic to microorganisms. Phenothiazines (e.g., toluidine blue-O and methylene blue), which bear a positive charge, can directly target both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. The positive charge promotes the binding of photosensitizer to the outer bacterial membrane, inducing localized damage, which favors its penetration.[19]

Though we could have opted for the standard procedure of only non-surgical endodontic retreatment in treating this case, PDT was used as an adjunct in disinfecting the inaccessible furcation area and was aimed for accelerated periodontal healing in a very conservative and least invasive way.

**CONCLUSION**

The present case illustrates the scope of non-surgical retreatment procedure and states how the challenge posed by a failed root canal case can be successfully managed by the combination of adequate instrumentation, appropriate use of irrigants, medicaments, sealer, and the adjuvant use of recently developed technology such as PDT.
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